



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
POLICE DEPARTMENT
HEADQUARTERS
1245 3RD Street
San Francisco, California 94158



WILLIAM SCOTT
CHIEF OF POLICE

November 21, 2019

Via email 80427-63101494@requests.muckrock.com

Anonymous
Dept. MR 80427 411A Highland
Somerville, MA 02144

RE: Public Records Request, dated September 16, 2019, Reference # P009058-091619

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated, September 16, 2019.

You requested, "...[Credit for this idea goes to the "50 Fun Words" FOIA search tradition to find interesting records]...

A - all emails, sent or received between Jan 1, 2018 to Sept 15, 2019, in all official email accounts;

B - all emails, sent or received between Jan 1, 2018 to Sept 15, 2019, in all personal email accounts (to the extent records are about the public's business under City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017));

C - all text, chat, SMS, MMS, instant messages, Twitter Tweets, Twitter Direct Messages, Facebook Messenger posts, Facebook Posts, Instagram Posts, Snapchat Posts, WhatsApp messages, Signal messages, Telegram messages, in all official accounts;

D - all text, chat, SMS, MMS, instant messages, Twitter Tweets, Twitter Direct Messages, Facebook Messenger posts, Facebook Posts, Instagram Posts, Snapchat Posts, WhatsApp messages, Signal messages, Telegram messages, in all personal accounts (to the extent records are about the public's business under City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017));
for all accounts of each of the following persons:

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport;

10. the department as an entity (for example, twitter @SFPD, and all such agency-controlled brand accounts)

with a case insensitive search for any of the following keywords, to be searched in all bodies, subjects, and attachments:

disgrace

disgraced

dishonor

dishonorable

immoral

unethical

turpitude

worry

worries

worried

perjure

perjury

perjured

conspire

conspired

conspiracy

silence

silenced

false

tamper

tampering

lie

lies

lied

1983

brady

exculpatory

coverup

cover-up

constitution

constitutional

shred

wipe

erase

delete

hide

hidden

destroy

internal affairs

DPA
cheat
damn
damnit
dammit
goddamn
goddam
goddammit.”

On September 24, the SFPD informed you that your request was overbroad and burdensome and requested clarification.

On September 25, the SFPD received your response to our request for clarification. Your response is as follows:

“I am not required to have a 'topic' or a purpose - I have "identified" the records as those containing my queries, to or from 10 specific people, not your whole department, and your organizational accounts (which is not all of your employees but is instead just your department twitter, facebook, etc. accounts). I do not see how 10 ppl could send/receive millions of emails in 1.5 years, esp. when limited to specific keywords.”

On September 26, the SFPD invoked the extension of time to respond to your request pursuant to Government Code section 6253(c) because of the need to consult with other divisions of the agency.

On October 10, and October 24, the Department informed you that we were is still in the process of consulting with other divisions of the agency for responsive records.

On November 7, the SFPD provided you with responsive records.

Responsive records are included in this correspondence. Please note that redactions have been made in these materials of personal information on the basis of privacy, pursuant to Section 6254(c) of the Public Records Act (California Government Code sec. 6254(c)) and Article I, sec. 1 of the California Constitution because disclosure of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. Furthermore, redactions have also been made pursuant to California Government Code Section 6254(f), which exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, investigations conducted by, intelligence information or security procedures of, and investigatory or security files compiled by local police agencies and under California Government Code Section 6254(k). Section 6254(k) allows exemption of “[r]ecords, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege.”

Finally, the SFPD continues consulting with other divisions of the agency for responsive records. We will continue to be in contact with you and provide you with an update by December 5.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Sgt. Victoria ~~Sullivan~~ #571
FOR

Lt. R. Andrew Cox #287
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division